Thermal emission from WASP-24b at 3.6 and 4.5 μ m

A. M. S. Smith¹, D. R. Anderson¹, N. Madhusudhan², J. Southworth¹, A. Collier Cameron³, J. Blecic⁴, J. Harrington⁴, C. Hellier¹, P. F. L. Maxted¹, D. Pollacco⁵, D. Queloz⁶, B. Smalley¹, A. H. M. J. Triaud⁶, and P. J. Wheatley⁷

¹ Astrophysics Group, Lennard-Jones Laboratories, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK e-mail: a.m.s.smith@keele.ac.uk

² Department of Physics, and Department of Astronomy, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA

³ SUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St. Andrews, North Haugh, St. Andrews, Fife, KY16 9SS, UK

⁴ Planetary Sciences Group, Department of Physics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816-2385, USA

⁵ Astrophysics Research Centre, Physics Building, Queen's University, Belfast, County Antrim, BT7 1NN, UK

⁶ Observatoire de Genève, Université de Genève, 51 Chemin des Maillettes, 1290 Sauverny, Switzerland

⁷ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

Received 23 March 2012 / Accepted 17 August 2012

ABSTRACT

Aims. We observe occultations of WASP-24b to measure brightness temperatures and to determine whether or not its atmosphere exhibits a thermal inversion (stratosphere).

Methods. We observed occultations of WASP-24b at 3.6 and 4.5 μ m using the *Spitzer* Space Telescope. It has been suggested that there is a correlation between stellar activity and the presence of inversions, so we analysed existing HARPS spectra in order to calculate log R'_{HK} for WASP-24 and thus determine whether or not the star is chromospherically active. We also observed a transit of WASP-24b in the Strömgren u and y bands, with the CAHA 2.2-m telescope.

Results. We measure occultation depths of 0.159 ± 0.013 per cent at $3.6 \mu m$ and 0.202 ± 0.018 per cent at $4.5 \mu m$. The corresponding planetary brightness temperatures are 1974 ± 71 K and 1944 ± 85 K respectively. Atmosphere models with and without a thermal inversion fit the data equally well; we are unable to constrain the presence of an inversion without additional occultation measurements in the near-IR. We find $\log R'_{\rm HK} = -4.98 \pm 0.12$, indicating that WASP-24 is not a chromospherically active star. Our global analysis of new and previously-published data has refined the system parameters, and we find no evidence that the orbit of WASP-24b is non-circular.

Conclusions. These results emphasise the importance of complementing *Spitzer* measurements with observations at shorter wavelengths to gain a full understanding of hot Jupiter atmospheres.

Key words. planetary systems – planets and satellites: atmospheres – stars: individual: WASP-24 – planets and satellites: individual: WASP-24b – infrared: planetary systems

1. Introduction

Observing the occultation of an exoplanet by its host star at nearinfrared wavelengths allows the direct detection of thermal emission from the planet. Since the first such measurement with the *Spitzer* Space Telescope (Charbonneau et al. 2005; Deming et al. 2005), the occultations of numerous planets have been measured using both *Spitzer* at 3.6 to 24 μ m, and ground-based telescopes at shorter wavelengths.

Measuring the depth of a single occultation allows us to measure the brightness temperature of the dayside of the planet and estimate how efficiently heat is redistributed from the dayside to the nightside. Occultation measurements made at multiple wavelengths enable the construction of a spectral energy distribution (SED) of the planet's dayside atmosphere. The atmospheric depth probed by observations at a given wavelength depends on the opacity of the atmosphere in that passband, meaning that a spectrum can inform us of the vertical structure of the atmosphere. In particular, thermal inversions (stratospheres), where the temperature increases with altitude, have been inferred for some planetary atmospheres on the basis of occultation data.

It was previously suggested that inversions are present in atmospheres hot enough to maintain high-opacity absorbers (such as TiO and VO) in the upper atmosphere (Hubeny et al. 2003; Burrows et al. 2007; Fortney et al. 2008). More recent results (Machalek et al. 2008; Fressin et al. 2010; Madhusudhan & Seager 2010) and theory (Spiegel et al. 2009), however, have challenged this picture. An alternative hypothesis has been put forward by Knutson et al. (2010), who note the apparent correlation between stellar activity and the absence of a thermal inversion. The planets that lack thermal inversions orbit chromospherically active stars; it is proposed that the high levels of ultraviolet flux associated with chromospheric activity destroy the high-altitude compounds that would otherwise cause inversions. This hypothesis is based on a small number of systems; observations of more planets are required to test it. Yet another possibility is that TiO and VO can be naturally low in abundance if the atmosphere is carbon-rich, $C/O \ge 1$, and hence preclude thermal inversions (Madhusudhan et al. 2011b).

WASP-24 is a late-F star which is host to a massive $(1.1 M_{Jup})$ transiting planet in a short-period (2.34 d), prograde orbit (Street et al. 2010; Simpson et al. 2011). The stellar flux

received by WASP-24b $(2.28 \times 10^9 \text{ W m}^{-2})$ places the planet well into the pM class proposed by Fortney et al. (2008), so according to this theory a strong inversion should be present. In this paper, we present *Spitzer* occultation observations of WASP-24b at 3.6 and 4.5 μ m and we measure log R'_{HK} for WASP-24.

2. New observations

2.1. Spitzer occultation photometry

We observed two occultations of the planet WASP-24b by its host star with the *Spitzer* Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004), during its warm mission, on 2011 April 16 and 2011 April 18. We used the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004) in full-array mode (256×256 pixels, 1.2'' pixel⁻¹). The first occultation was observed at 3.6 μ m (channel 1) and the second at 4.5 μ m (channel 2). Each observation consisted of a total of 2054 10.4-s exposures, spanning a total of 7.46 h.

The target was placed near the centre of the array for the channel 2 observations, but for the channel 1 observations it was placed on the pixel with x and y co-ordinates $\{79, 55\}$. This position was chosen because Todorov et al. (2010) found low intrapixel sensitivity variation during observations of HAT-P-1 centred at $\{79.0, 55.6\}$. Our observations were conducted with the target star on a different part of the same pixel (centred on $\{79.0, 55.4\}$), with no positional overlap with the Todorov et al. (2010) observations. A strong position-dependent flux variation is observed in our data (see Sect. 3).

We used the images calibrated by the standard *Spitzer* pipeline (version S18.18.0) and delivered to the community as basic calibrated data (BCD). We performed the photometry using the method presented in Anderson et al. (2011b). For each image, we converted flux from MJy sr⁻¹ to electrons and then used IRAF to perform aperture photometry of the target, using circular apertures with radii ranging from 1.5 to 6.0 pixels. The apertures were centred by fitting 1D Gaussians with a fixed FWHM (1.37 and 1.62 pixels for channels 1 and 2 respectively) to the marginal profiles in *x* and *y*, using a non-linear least squares technique. We measured the sky background in an annulus centred on the target with an inner radius of 8 pixels and an outer radius of 12 pixels, and subtracted it from the flux measured within the on-source apertures.

The flux in the sky background annulus was calculated using IRAF's centroid algorithm, which is equivalent to calculating the mode of the histogram of sky background values. We note that warm *Spitzer* suffers from a systematic known as "column pulldown", where a column of pixels records anomalously high flux readings (see Deming et al. 2011, for a discussion of systematic effects in warm *Spitzer* data). One such column pulldown associated with a hot pixel in the 4.5 μ m detector is located sufficiently close to our target that a it appears within our sky background annulus. This does not constitute a problem for our estimation of the sky background, however, since only a small fraction (\approx 15/250) of pixels are affected and sigma clipping is performed as part of the sky background estimation algorithm, so the affected pixels are rejected from the calculation.

We estimated the photometric uncertainty as the quadrature addition of the uncertainty in the sky background (estimated as the standard deviation of the flux in the sky anulus) in the onsource aperture, the read-out noise, and the Poisson noise of the total background-subtracted counts within the on-source aperture. The mid-exposure times (in the HJD (UTC) time system) are calculated by adding half the integration time (FRAMTIME value in the image headers) to the MHJD_OBS values, which are the start times of the data collective events (DCEs). These times were then converted to the BJD (TDB) system using the routines of Eastman et al. (2010).

We adopt the aperture radii (2.6 pixels for channel 1 and 2.4 pixels for channel 2) that give the highest signal-tonoise, and the smallest residual scatter in the fitted light curves (see Sect. 3 for details of our fitting procedure). The variation in both the channel 1 and 2 occultation depths is much smaller than 1σ across a wide range in aperture radius.

In order to account for cosmic-ray hits, we rejected any flux measurement that was discrepant with the median of its 20 neighbours (a window width of 4.4 min) by more than four times its theoretical error bar. We also performed a rejection on target position. For each image and for the x and y detector coordinates separately, we computed the difference between the fitted target position and the median of its 20 neighbours. For each dataset, we then calculated the standard deviation, σ , of these *median differences* and rejected any points discrepant by more than 4σ . We reject a total of 82 (4.0 per cent) channel 1 data points (73 on flux, 8 on x-position, and 1 on y-position) and 23 (1.1 per cent) channel 2 data points (18 on flux, 1 on x-position, and 4 on y-position). The post-rejection data are displayed raw and binned in the first and second panels respectively of Fig. 1.

2.2. CAHA 2.2-m transit photometry

We observed a transit of WASP-24b on 2010 May 05/06 with the CAHA 2.2-m telescope at Calar Alto, Spain. We used the Bonn University Simultaneous CAmera (BUSCA) to observe simultaneously in the Strömgren u, b and y passbands, and the SDSS z passband, with exposure times of 90 to 120 s. Further details of our BUSCA observation strategy may be found in Southworth et al. (2012). Aperture photometry was performed using the pipeline of Southworth et al. (2009), which uses the IDL implementation of the DAOPHOT APER algorithm (Stetson 1987) provided by the ASTROLIB library. An ensemble of comparison stars were used and a systematic trend in the form of a linear function of time was removed. The b and z-band data were both found to exhibit an unacceptably large scatter, the cause of which is unknown; we use only the Strömgren u and y data in our subsequent analysis. These data are shown overplotted with our best-fitting model in Fig. 2.

3. Data analysis

Our new Spitzer data and transit photometry were incorporated into a global analysis alongside the following data: a total of 29993 photometric data points from SuperWASP-N and WASP-South, spanning 2008 March 5 to 2010 July 26 (including the 9750 presented by Street et al. 2010, who included only the data taken prior to 2009 April 28); the three complete followup transit light curves of Street et al. (2010) from the Liverpool (V + R band), Faulkes North and Faulkes South Telescopes (both PanSTARRS-z band); the ten radial velocities (RVs) measured by the Nordic Optical Telescope, and the eighteen CORALIE RVs presented by Street et al. (2010); and the 63 HARPS radial velocities of Simpson et al. (2011). We analysed the data using an adaptive Markov-chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm (Collier Cameron et al. 2007; Pollacco et al. 2008; Enoch et al. 2010; see Anderson et al. 2011a, for a description of the current version of our code).

The data that we include in our analysis from Street et al. (2010) and Simpson et al. (2011) were originally in the HJD (UTC) and BJD (UTC) time systems. We converted these to



Fig. 1. In each of the above three plots, the 3.6 μ m data (green points) are shown above the 4.5 μ m data (blue points), which is offset in relative flux for clarity. *Left*: raw *Spitzer* data with the best-fitting trend and occultation models superimposed. *Middle*: the same data binned in phase ($\Delta \phi = 0.002 \approx 6.74$ min) with the best-fitting trend models superimposed. *Right*: the binned data after dividing by the best-fitting trend models, and with the best-fitting occultation models superimposed. The error bar on each binned measurement in the panels in the middle and on the right is the standard deviation of the points within the bin.



Fig. 2. Transit photometry from the CAHA 2.2-m telescope. The upper curve (blue circles) is the transit in the Strömgren u passband, and the curve below it (red squares) is the transit in Strömgren y, offset in flux for clarity. In each case our best fitting model is overplotted (solid line) and the residuals are shown beneath, offset from zero and with the same symbols as the corresponding light curve.

the BJD (TDB) system, using the routines described by Eastman et al. (2010), so that there is consistency between all our data. In order to account for the light-travel time across the orbit when comparing transit and occultation photometry, we made a first-order adjustment to the timings of the *Spitzer* data, sub-tracting 36 s from the time of each observation (for comparison, we measure the mid-occultation time with a precision of 111 s).

The MCMC proposal parameters (which are defined in Table 3) we used are: t_c , P, $(R_{pl}/R_*)^2$, t_{14} , b, K_1 , T_{eff} , [Fe/H], $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$, $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$, $\sqrt{v \sin i} \cos \lambda$, $\sqrt{v \sin i} \sin \lambda$, $\Delta F_{3.6}$, and $\Delta F_{4.5}$. At each step in the MCMC procedure, each proposal parameter is perturbed from its previous value by a small, random amount. From the proposal parameters, model light and RV curves are generated and χ^2 is calculated from their comparison with the data. A step is accepted if χ^2 (our merit function) is lower than for the previous step, and a step with higher χ^2 is accepted with probability $\exp(-\Delta\chi^2/2)$. In this way, the parameter space around the optimum solution is thoroughly explored. The value and uncertainty for each parameter are taken as the median and central 68.3 per cent confidence interval of the parameter's marginalised posterior probability distribution respectively (e.g. Ford 2006).

The transit light curves were modelled using the formulation of Mandel & Agol (2002) and limb darkening was accounted for using a four-coefficient, non-linear model, employing coefficients appropriate to the passband from the tabulations of Claret (2000, 2004). The coefficients were determined using an initial interpolation in log g_* and [Fe/H] (using the values reported in Street et al. 2010), and an interpolation in $T_{*,eff}$ at each MCMC step. The coefficient values corresponding to the bestfitting value of $T_{*,eff}$ are given in Table 1.

 Table 1. Limb-darkening coefficients.

Claret band	Light curves	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4
Cousins R	WASP, RISE	0.581	0.011	0.373	-0.236
Sloan z'	FTS, FTN	0.673	-0.353	0.600	-0.303
Strömgren u	BUSCA	0.429	-0.049	1.055	-0.528
Strömgren <i>y</i>	BUSCA	0.498	0.224	0.277	-0.206

Table 2. Trend model parameters and coefficients.

	3.6 µm	4.5 μm
Ĵ	193116.39	103427.12
â	79.03	127.44
ŷ	55.37	129.41
a_0	63.9 ± 5	-84.8 ± 2.4
a_x	20586 ± 18	-756.4 ± 5
a_y	-21433 ± 53	-3937.5 ± 6
a_{xy}	-9277 ± 756	-6791 ± 329
a_{xx}	-26000 ± 1302	7784 ± 150
a_{yy}	37604 ± 891	8236 ± 282
a_t	-633 ± 39	59 ± 21

Notes. See Sect. 3.1 for the definitions of these parameters.

3.1. De-trending Spitzer data

Both the IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 μ m detectors are known to have an inhomogeneous intra-pixel sensitivity which results in a correlation between the measured flux of a star and its position on the array (e.g. Knutson et al. 2008, and references therein). This, combined with a small, periodic ($P \approx 40$ min) pointing wobble thought to be caused by thermal cycling of a heater aboard the spacecraft¹, results in a periodic modulation of the photometry (Fig. 1). We model this so-called "pixel-phase" effect as a quadratic function of the x and y positions of the centre of the PSF, after Charbonneau et al. (2008). We include in our model the additional cross-term of Désert et al. (2009) as well as a term linear in time, such that the stellar flux relative to its weighted mean, $df = f - \hat{f}$, is given by

$$df = a_0 + a_x dx + a_y dy + a_{xy} dx dy + a_{xx} dx^2 + a_{yy} dy^2 + a_t dt \quad (1)$$

where $dx = x - \hat{x}$ and $dy = y - \hat{y}$ are the coordinates of the PSF centre relative to their weighted means, dt is the time elapsed since the first observation, and a_0 , a_x , a_y , a_{xy} , a_{xx} , a_{yy} and a_t are the coefficients for which we fit.

At each MCMC step, after dividing the data by the eclipse model we determine the trend model coefficients using the singular value decomposition routine of Press et al. (1992). The best-fitting trend models are binned and superimposed on the binned photometry in the middle panel of Fig. 1, and the trend model coefficients are given in Table 2.

3.2. Photometric noise

We scaled the formal uncertainties of each photometric dataset by an individual factor in order to obtain a reduced χ^2 of unity. This ensures that each dataset is properly weighted with respect to the others in the MCMC analysis and that realistic uncertainties are obtained. The errors on the transit photometry were multiplied by factors ranging from 0.95 to 2.62,



Fig. 3. The rms of the binned residuals for the *Spitzer* data at 3.6 μ m (*upper*) and 4.5 μ m (*lower*) (solid lines). The white-noise expectation, where the rms decreases in proportion to the square root of the bin size, is indicated by the dashed line in each panel.

while those on the *Spitzer* channel 1 and 2 data were multiplied by 1.070 and 1.007 respectively. It was not necessary to add any jitter to the RV uncertainties in order to obtain a reduced spectroscopic- χ^2 of unity. We plotted the rms of the binned residuals as a function of bin width to assess the presence of correlated noise in the *Spitzer* light curves (Fig. 3). A small amount of correlated noise is detected in the 4.5 μ m light curve on timescales of the order of 10 min.

Remaining correlated noise present in the occultation light curve can result in an underestimation of the uncertainty on the occultation depth. To check that our occultation depth uncertainties are not unrealistic, we employ the residual permutation or "prayer bead" method (e.g. Gillon et al. 2007) on each of our Spitzer light curves. We implement this method in the same way as we did in Smith et al. (2011), i.e. we shift the residual to the model to the subsequent observation before adding back the trend and occultation models. This process is repeated, shifting each residual two observations, and so on, resulting in a series of light curves, each of which has the time-structure of the correlated noise preserved. A separate MCMC analysis was performed on each of these light curves. In order to expedite the process of conducting a total of 4007 analyses (1977 for channel 1, and 2030 for channel 2), we did not fit any of the transit photometry. Instead, we fixed the values of t_c , P, $(R_{\rm pl}/R_*)^2$, t_{14} and b to the values determined by our main MCMC analysis (the values listed in Table 3). We also fixed the values of K_1 , T_{eff} , [Fe/H], $\sqrt{v \sin i} \cos \lambda$, and $\sqrt{v \sin i} \sin \lambda$, so that the only proposal parameters were those influenced by the occultation photometry, namely the occultation depth, $\Delta F_{3.6}$ or $\Delta F_{4.5}$, and $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ and $\sqrt{e}\sin\omega$.

We then calculated the median of the best-fitting occultation depths from the ensemble of new fits, as well as the uncertainty limits which enclose 68.3 per cent of the values around the median. The occultation depths from this prayer bead analysis are $\Delta F_{3.6} = 0.159^{+0.018}_{-0.010}$ per cent and $\Delta F_{4.5} = 0.210^{+0.016}_{-0.024}$ per cent. These values and uncertainties are almost identical to those obtained from our global MCMC analysis, indicating that any residual correlated noise in our light curves has a negligible impact on our measurement of the occultation depths.

http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/warmmission/news/
21oct2010memo.pdf

Table 3. WASP-24 system parameters.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
Orbital period	P	2.3412132 ± 0.0000018	d
Epoch of mid-transit (BJD, TDB)	t _c	2455149.27535 ± 0.00015	d
Transit duration (from first to fourth contact)	t_{14}	0.11364 ± 0.00069	d
Duration of transit ingress \approx duration of transit egress	$t_{12} \approx t_{34}$	0.01839 ± 0.00082	d
Planet-to-star area ratio	$(R_{\rm pl}/R_{*})^2$	0.01102 ± 0.00013	
Impact parameter	b	0.669 ± 0.016	
Orbital inclination	i	83.30 ± 0.30	o
Semi-amplitude of the stellar reflex velocity	K_1	150.6 ± 2.5	${ m m~s^{-1}}$
Centre-of-mass velocity	$\gamma_{ m rv1}$	-17803 ± 0.15	${ m ms^{-1}}$
Offset between CORALIE and NOT	$\gamma_{\rm CORALIE-NOT}$	102.85 ± 0.15	${ m m~s^{-1}}$
Offset between CORALIE and HARPS	$\gamma_{ m HARPS-CORALIE}$	-14.21 ± 0.64	${\rm m}{\rm s}^{-1}$
Orbital eccentricity	е	$0.0031^{+0.0097}_{-0.0024}$	
		<0.0388 (3 <i>\sigma</i>)	
Argument of periastron	ω	67^{+24}_{-149}	0
	$e\cos\omega$	$0.00044^{+0.00097}_{-0.00076}$	
	$e\sin\omega$	$0.0009^{+0.0011}_{-0.0028}$	
Phase of mid-occultation, having accounted for light travel time	$\phi_{ m mid-occ.}$	$0.50028^{+0.00062}_{-0.00049}$	
Occultation duration	t ₅₈	$0.11395^{+0.00101}_{-0.00088}$	d
Duration of occultation ingress \approx duration of occultation egress	$t_{56} \approx t_{78}$	$0.01862^{+0.00104}_{-0.00091}$	d
Relative planet-to-star flux at 3.6μ m	ΔF_{2} (0.159 ± 0.013	per cent
Relative planet-to-star flux at $4.5 \mu\text{m}$	$\Delta F_{4.5}$	0.107 ± 0.013 0.202 ± 0.018	per cent
Planet brightness temperature at 3.6 µm	Th 2.6	1974 + 71	K
Planet brightness temperature at $4.5 \mu\text{m}$	$T_{b,4.5}$	1944 ± 85	K
Sky-projected stellar rotation velocity	v sin i	5.86 ± 0.63	$\rm kms^{-1}$
Sky-projected angle between stellar spin and planetary orbit axes	λ	-5.8 ± 4.1	o
Stellar mass	M_{*}	1.154 ± 0.025	M_{\odot}
Stellar radius	R_{*}	1.354 ± 0.032	R_{\odot}
Stellar density	$ ho_*$	0.465 ± 0.028	$ ho_{\odot}$
Stellar surface gravity	$\log g_*$	4.236 ± 0.017	(cgs)
Stellar effective temperature	$T_{*,\mathrm{eff}}$	6038 ± 95	K
Stellar metallicity	[Fe/H]	0.099 ± 0.071	(dex)
Planet mass	$M_{ m pl}$	1.091 ± 0.025	$M_{ m Jup}$
Planet radius	$R_{\rm pl}$	1.383 ± 0.039	$R_{ m Jup}$
Planet density	$\rho_{\rm pl}$	0.412 ± 0.032	ρ_{Jup}
Planet surface gravity	$\log g_{\rm P}$	3.115 ± 0.022	(cgs)
Scaled orbital major semi-axis	a/R_*	5.75 ± 0.11	-
Orbital major semi-axis	а	0.03619 ± 0.00027	AU
Planet equilibrium temperature [†] (full redistribution)	$T_{\mathrm{P,A=0,f=1}}$	1781 ± 34	Κ
Planet equilibrium temperature [†] (day side redistribution)	$T_{\mathrm{P,A=0,f=2}}$	2118 ± 41	Κ
Planet equilibrium temperature [†] (instant re-radiation)	$T_{\rm P,A=0,f=8/3}$	2276 ± 44	Κ

Notes. ^(†) $T_{P,A=0,f} = f^{\frac{1}{4}} T_{eff} \sqrt{\frac{R_*}{2a}}$ where *f* is the redistribution factor, with f = 1 for full redistribution, f = 2 for dayside redistribution and f = 8/3 for instant re-radiation (Cowan & Agol 2011). We assumed the planet albedo to be zero, A = 0.

4. Results

4.1. Brightness temperatures

The median values of the system parameters and their associated 1σ uncertainties from our MCMC analysis are displayed in Table 3. Our occultation models are shown with the detrended *Spitzer* photometry in the third panel of Fig. 1. We find occultation depths of 0.159 ± 0.013 percent at 3.6 μ m

and 0.202 \pm 0.018 per cent at 4.5 μ m. We calculated corresponding brightness temperatures by equating the occultation depth with the product of $(R_{\rm pl}/R_*)^2$ and the ratio of the planet-to-star flux density (calculated using a model stellar spectrum of Kurucz 1979) integrated over the bandpass and corrected with the *Spitzer* transmission curve. We then scaled the planetary blackbody temperature so that the occultation depth equalled the calculated quantity. We find brightness temperatures of 1974 \pm 71 K at 3.6 μ m and 1944 \pm 85 K at 4.5 μ m. These uncertainties

account for only the uncertainty in the occultation depth, which is the dominant source of error.

4.2. Orbital eccentricity

Street et al. (2010) found no evidence for a non-zero orbital eccentricity of WASP-24b, and adopted a circular orbital solution. Given the additional constraints that occultation observations place on $e \sin \omega$, and particularly $e \cos \omega$, we chose not to constrain the orbital eccentricity within our MCMC analysis. We do not detect a non-zero eccentricity with any significance, however. Our median value is $e = 0.0031^{+0.0097}_{-0.0024}$, and our 3σ upper limit to the eccentricity is 0.0388. Our best-fitting phase of midoccultation, $\phi_{mid-occ.} = 0.50028^{+0.00062}_{-0.00049}$, corresponds to an occultation occurring 57^{+125}_{-99} s later than expected for a circular orbit.

4.3. System parameters

The system parameters resulting from our analysis should be viewed as superseding previous measurements by Street et al. (2010) and Simpson et al. (2011), since we include in our analysis all of the data analysed by those authors as well as additional, new data. We made a comparison of the parameters presented in Table 3 with the corresponding values (where given) from Street et al. (2010) and Simpson et al. (2011), finding them to be in very good agreement. The majority of our measurements differ from previously published values by less than $1-\sigma$; in no case is the deviation greater than $2-\sigma$.

5. Discussion

5.1. Brightness and equilibrium temperatures

The fact that the 3.6 and 4.5 μ m brightness temperatures are essentially identical (Table 3; Sect. 4.1) is suggestive that no strong temperature inversion exists in the atmosphere of WASP-24b. The observed occultation depths are well fitted by a blackbody SED for the planet (Fig. 4), with a best-fitting blackbody temperature of 1952 ± 52 K.

The brightness temperatures may be compared with equilibrium temperatures calculated under various assumptions. The equilibrium temperature for a zero-albedo planet is given by

$$T_{\rm P,A=0} = f^{\frac{1}{4}} T_{*,\rm eff} \sqrt{\frac{R_*}{2a}},$$
(2)

where *f* parameterises the redistribution of heat; f = 1 indicates isotropic re-radiation over the whole planet (i.e. the redistribution of heat from the dayside to the nightside is fully efficient). The case where the heat is uniformly distributed on the dayside of the planet, but there is no redistribution of heat to the nightside corresponds to f = 2. A third case, corresponding to $f = \frac{8}{3}$ (equivalent to $\varepsilon = 0$ and $f = \frac{2}{3}$ in the notations of Cowan & Agol 2011; and López-Morales & Seager 2007, respectively), occurs when the incident radiation is immediately re-radiated and there is no redistribution of heat within even the dayside. Because the hottest region of the dayside is most visible close to occultation, a deeper occultation is observed than if the dayside had a uniform temperature.

The zero-albedo equilibrium temperatures for the three aforementioned cases are presented at the bottom of Table 3. Our brightness temperatures fall between the equilibrium temperatures for f = 1 and f = 2, suggesting that there is significant redistribution of heat to the nightside. We find a dimensionless dayside effective temperature, the T_d/T_0 of Cowan & Agol (2011) (where T_d is the dayside effective temperature,



Fig. 4. Spectral energy distribution of WASP-24b relative to that of its host star. The blue circles with error bars are our best-fitting occultation depths. The light green line is a model-atmosphere spectrum, based on a model which assumes solar abundances in thermochemical equilibrium and lacks a temperature inversion, and the dark red line is a model with a temperature inversion. The band-integrated model fluxes are indicated with circles of the corresponding colours. The dashed black line shows a planetary black body model with a temperature of 1900 K. Inset: temperature-pressure profiles for our models.

and T_0 is the equilibrium temperature of the sub-stellar point), of 0.77 ± 0.03 . In a plot of T_d/T_0 vs. the maximum-expected dayside temperature (Fig. 7 of Cowan & Agol 2011), WASP-24b is placed close to TrES-4b, amongst the main cluster of points.

5.2. Atmosphere model

We model the dayside thermal emission from WASP-24b using the exoplanetary atmospheric modeling and retrieval method of Madhusudhan & Seager (2009, 2010). The model computes lineby-line radiative transfer in a plane parallel atmosphere in local thermodynamic equilibrium. The model assumes hydrostatic equilibrium and global energy balance. The model atmosphere includes the major sources of opacity expected in hot hydrogendominated giant planet atmospheres, namely, molecular absorption due to H₂O, CO, CH₄, and CO2, and continuum opacity due to H₂-H₂ collision-induced absorption (CIA). Our molecular line-lists were obtained from Freedman et al. (2008), Freedman (2009, priv. comm.), and Rothman et al. (2005), and we use the CIA opacities from Borysow et al. (1997) and Borysow (2002). The chemical composition and the temperature structure of the atmosphere are input parameters to the model. Given a set of atmospheric observations, we explore the space of chemical composition and temperature structure to determine the regions constrained by the data for a desired level of confidence (e.g. Madhusudhan et al. 2011a). However, given that we have only two data points at present, a unique fit to the composition and temperature structure is not feasible. Consequently, we fix the molecular mixing ratios under the assumption of chemical equilibrium with solar elemental abundances (e.g. Burrows & Sharp 1999), and explore the range of temperature structures required to explain the data.

We find that both our planet-star flux ratios can be explained by a planetary blackbody at around 1950 K. Consequently, the data are consistent with an isothermal atmosphere. However, an isothermal temperature structure over the entire atmosphere is unrealistic in radiatively efficient atmospheres at low optical depth (e.g. Hansen 2008). More realistic is an atmosphere with temperature decreasing outward, i.e. one without a thermal inversion; such an atmosphere explains the data just as well (Fig. 4, green curve). However, a second model with identical chemical composition, but with an inversion is an equally good fit to the data (Fig. 4, red curve). Both models predict very efficient day-night heat redistribution; the inversion model has 50 per cent redistribution, and the non-inversion model 40 per cent. Our two *Spitzer* data points are therefore insufficient to constrain the presence of a thermal inversion in the atmosphere of WASP-24b. The two models predict different flux ratios in the near-IR, so a measurement of the occultation depth at one or more near-IR wavelengths (e.g. *J*, *H*, *K*-bands) would break the degeneracy between the models.

5.3. Thermal inversion

Knutson et al. (2010) note a correlation between the presence/ absence of thermal inversions in the atmospheres of hot Jupiters and stellar activity. Planets orbiting active stars are found to lack stratospheres, perhaps because the high-levels of ultraviolet flux associated with chromospherically active stars destroys the highaltitude sources of opacity thought to be responsible for thermal inversions.

We determined the log $R'_{\rm HK}$ activity index (Noyes et al. 1984) of WASP-24 by measuring the weak emission in the cores of the Ca II H+K lines, using the HARPS spectra obtained by Simpson et al. (2011) and the method of Lovis et al. (2011). Using the spectra with the highest signal-to-noise, we find log $R'_{\rm HK} = -4.98 \pm 0.12$. This places (although not conclusively) WASP-24 among the inactive stars ($-5.5 < \log R'_{\rm HK} < -4.9$) that Knutson et al. (2010) finds are host to planets with inversions. Furthermore, we find no evidence in the long-baseline WASP light curves for evidence of any rotational variability (there is no periodic variation above 1 mmag at a confidence level of 95 per cent). Rotational modulation is indicative of stellar chromospheric activity, and is observed in e.g. WASP-19, which has $\log R'_{\rm HK}$ in the region of -4.5 (Anderson et al. 2011c).

We calculate the model-independent, empirical metric of Knutson et al. (2010) for classifying hot Jupiter spectra, finding $\zeta = -0.005 \pm 0.025$ per cent μm^{-1} (adopting the notation of Anderson et al. 2011c). This value suggests an inversion is present, but is close to the boundary between inverted and non-inverted atmospheres (\approx -0.05 per cent μm^{-1}). On a plot of log $R'_{\rm HK}$ vs. ζ (Fig. 5 of Knutson et al. 2010), WASP-24b lies in the upper left corner of the cluster of planets classified as having inversions, very close to XO-1b.

6. Conclusions

We have detected thermal emission from WASP-24b at 3.6 and 4.5 μ m. Our measured occultation depths correspond to planetary brightness temperatures which are very similar in the two bandpasses; a blackbody planetary model is a good fit to the data. Theoretical model atmospheres which have solar abundances, both with and without a thermal inversion are also a good fit (Fig. 4). We are unable to constrain the presence of the thermal inversion in the atmosphere predicted by both the TiO/VO (Fortney et al. 2008) and the stellar activity (Knutson et al. 2010) hypotheses.

With $\log R'_{HK} = 4.98 \pm 0.12$, WASP-24 appears to be chromospherically inactive, however the relatively large uncertainty on this value means that the star is close to the boundary between active and inactive stars, although nothing else about the star suggests that it has an active chromosphere. This system would

therefore present a challenge to the stellar activity hypothesis if near-IR occultation measurements were found to indicate that the atmosphere lacks an inversion.

We find the orbit of WASP-24b to be consistent with a circular orbit; we place a 3σ upper limit of 0.039 to the orbital eccentricity.

Acknowledgements. This work is based on observations made with the *Spitzer* Space Telescope, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. J.H. and J.B. acknowledge NASA support through an award issued by JPL/Caltech.

References

- Anderson, D. R., Collier Cameron, A., Gillon, M., et al. 2011a, A&A, 534, A16 Anderson, D. R., Smith, A. M. S., Lanotte, A. A., et al. 2011b, MNRAS, 416, 2108
- Anderson, D. R., Smith, A. M. S., Madhusudhan, N., et al. 2011c, MNRAS, 416, 2108
- Borysow, A. 2002, A&A, 390, 779
- Borysow, A., Jorgensen, U. G., & Zheng, C. 1997, A&A, 324, 185
- Burrows, A., & Sharp, C. M. 1999, ApJ, 512, 843
- Burrows, A., Hubeny, I., Budaj, J., Knutson, H. A., & Charbonneau, D. 2007, ApJ, 668, L171
- Charbonneau, D., Allen, L. E., Megeath, S. T., et al. 2005, ApJ, 626, 523
- Charbonneau, D., Knutson, H. A., Barman, T., et al. 2008, ApJ, 686, 1341
- Claret, A. 2000, A&A, 363, 1081
- Claret, A. 2004, A&A, 428, 1001
- Collier Cameron, A., Wilson, D. M., West, R. G., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 380, 1230
- Cowan, N. B., & Agol, E. 2011, ApJ, 729, 54
- Deming, D., Seager, S., Richardson, L. J., & Harrington, J. 2005, Nature, 434, 740
- Deming, D., Knutson, H., Agol, E., et al. 2011, ApJ, 726, 95
- Désert, J.-M., Lecavelier des Etangs, A., Hébrard, G., et al. 2009, ApJ, 699, 478
- Eastman, J., Siverd, R., & Gaudi, B. S. 2010, PASP, 122, 935
- Enoch, B., Collier Cameron, A., Parley, N. R., & Hebb, L. 2010, A&A, 516, A33
- Fazio, G. G., Hora, J. L., Allen, L. E., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 10
- Ford, E. B. 2006, ApJ, 642, 505
- Fortney, J. J., Lodders, K., Marley, M. S., & Freedman, R. S. 2008, ApJ, 678, 1419
- Freedman, R. S., Marley, M. S., & Lodders, K. 2008, ApJS, 174, 504
- Fressin, F., Knutson, H. A., Charbonneau, D., et al. 2010, ApJ, 711, 374
- Gillon, M., Demory, B., Barman, T., et al. 2007, A&A, 471, L51
- Hansen, B. M. S. 2008, ApJS, 179, 484
- Hubeny, I., Burrows, A., & Sudarsky, D. 2003, ApJ, 594, 1011
- Knutson, H. A., Charbonneau, D., Allen, L. E., Burrows, A., & Megeath, S. T. 2008, ApJ, 673, 526
- Knutson, H. A., Howard, A. W., & Isaacson, H. 2010, ApJ, 720, 1569
- Kurucz, R. L. 1979, ApJS, 40, 1
- López-Morales, M., & Seager, S. 2007, ApJ, 667, L191
- Lovis, C., Dumusque, X., Santos, N. C., et al. 2011, Am. Astron. Soc., ESS meeting 2, 0202
- Machalek, P., McCullough, P. R., Burke, C. J., et al. 2008, ApJ, 684, 1427
- Madhusudhan, N., Harrington, J., Stevenson, K. B., et al. 2011a, Nature, 469, 64
- Madhusudhan, N., Mousis, O., Johnson, T. V., & Lunine, J. I. 2011b, ApJ, 743, 191
- Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2009, ApJ, 707, 24
- Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2010, ApJ, 725, 261
- Mandel, K., & Agol, E. 2002, ApJ, 580, L171
- Noyes, R. W., Weiss, N. O., & Vaughan, A. H. 1984, ApJ, 287, 769
- Pollacco, D., Skillen, I., Collier Cameron, A., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 385, 1576
- Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. 1992, Numerical recipes in FORTRAN. The art of scientific computing, eds. W. H.
- Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, & B. P. Flannery Rothman, L. S., Jacquemart, D., Barbe, A., et al. 2005, J. Quant. Spec. Radiat.
- Transf., 96, 139 Simpson, E. K., Pollacco, D., Cameron, A. C., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 414, 3023
- Smith, A. M. S., Anderson, D. R., Skillen, I., Collier Cameron, A., & Smalley, B. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 2096
- Southworth, J., Hinse, T. C., Jørgensen, U. G., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 396, 1023
- Southworth, J., Mancini, L., Maxted, P. F. L., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 422, 3099
- Spiegel, D. S., Silverio, K., & Burrows, A. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1487
- Stetson, P. B. 1987, PASP, 99, 191
- Street, R. A., Simpson, E., Barros, S. C. C., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, 337
- Todorov, K., Deming, D., Harrington, J., et al. 2010, ApJ, 708, 498
- Werner, M. W., Roellig, T. L., Low, F. J., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 1